“Political interference” Charged at Lowell Text

By LORING SWAIM JR.
Sun State House Bureau
STATE HOUSE.—A 54-page
handwritten report of Lowell
Tech, prepared by the
world-famous Arthur O. Little
consulting firm, discloses a major
need for overhaul of the 73-year-
old institution's organizational
structure, and condemn “political interference” in staff
hiring.

The report makes significant
recommendations which it says
could “point the way toward
improved organization and
improved quality of service.”

Authorized by the board of
trustees several years ago, it was
delivered to LT in October 1969,
but has remained under
wraps until several trustees asked
that it be made available to
them. It was funded by a grant
to LT under Title III of the
Higher Education Act.

It was undertaken as an
analysis of the efficiency of the
present administrative structure
and the decision making process
of the institute's management.

LTI faces problems of
adjustment to expansion and
modernization which are being
shared by similar institutions all over the
country. Questionnaires to 52 similar
higher educational and technical
colleges provide a backdrop of
perspective for the 43 major
recommendations forthcoming.

“Without exception, all
persons interviewed from the
academic staff express the feeling
that the institute lacks effective
organization,” the analysis
states.

The trustees and
administration recognize the
growing dissatisfaction with the
status quo among faculty,
particularly newer, younger
members.

THE FOUR-MAN A.D.
Little's team bases its
findings on interviews with a
broad spectrum of LTI faculty,
trustees, staff members and
personnel. The questions ranged
over the fields of who is
responsible for what. How
decisions are made and authority
exercised and what problems
appear uppermost in developing a
modern effective organizational
framework.

Among the major
recommendations are:

- Establishment of clear
  lines of authority and
  responsibility for all officials of the
  institute, through proper
  organizational descriptions.

- Elimination of political
  influences in hiring procedure.

- Use of “line of command”
  procedures in dealing with all
  problems.

- Institution of timely
  subjects such as regional urban
  planning in line with changing
  needs of the region.

- Elimination from faculty
  positions of members of the
  administration who do not
  teach.

- Review of the top
  executive structure to improve
  functions and decentralize
  authority.

- The addition of 23 new
  clerical and secretarial support
  staff for instructors.

- Expand internal
  institutional research.

- Orastically revise the
  budget preparation procedures.

The analysis states that “the
administration is exactly
harsh in condemning political
interference in the hiring of
(Continued on Page 4)

SPECIAL NOTICE

The TEXT has just received word that several members of the
Student Council will attempt to place the following before the
students. If they succeed, this referendum will take place
Wednesday, May 6, in the Mulloon Area along with runoffs from
the Student Trustee and Institute Council elections.

***

In your opinion are the following members of the
Administration fulfilling their executive and administrative
responsibilities at a level of competence satisfactory to you?

President Martin F. Lydon
Yes No
Oon Lee F. King, Jr.
Guidance Director, John J. MacLaughlin
Yes No
Unable to answer

Placement Director, James A. Brennan
Yes No
Unable to answer

Financial Aid Office, Walter A. Castello
Yes No
Unable to answer

In your opinion are the following members of the Faculty
fulfilling their academic and counseling responsibilities at a level
of competence satisfactory to you? (This does not apply to
Trustees)

Your Department Chairman
Yes No
Unable to answer

Your Faculty Advisor
Yes No
Unable to answer

In your opinion has the teaching that you have experienced been
ON THE WHOLE performed at a level of competence satisfactory to
you? (Note that if there are five possible degrees of competence
to choose from)

1 2 3 4 5
Yes No
Unable to answer

Money, Money, Money,
Who's Got The Money

by Oonaal H. Denomme

Outing the past academic
year, the Text has been plagued
with budgetary problems. At the
beginning of the year, Prof.
Waterman, our financial
advisor, informed us that we
were in a sound financial
condition with what he estimated
to be approximately $12,000 in
budget. Later in the year and a
few issues were published, we
were informed by the
administration that we were not in a sound financial
condition as we had assumed.

It seems that, as stated by the
administration, a state law
prevents the previous year's
balance from being carried over
to the next year and that we had
started fresh with a new budget
of approximately only $3,000 or
$1,00. per student. When I
informed Prof. Waterman of the
situation, he was promised, but
then he was being financial advisor
for the Text for a number of
years expressed the fact that he
had always worked with a
budget of at least three
thousands that much all the other years.

I went to Dean King with this
information and asked why we had received a cut in budget.
He explained to me that our allocation from the general fund
had been cut to one third its original size last year. Because
the Student Council felt that The Text had accumulated
enough in its fund to carry itself through the year without
need of the previously large allocation. This accumulation
was approximately $11,000. This unusual logic for that
typical period time, but since we were no longer able to draw
from this previous balance, we were now under budgeted and
we were curious as to where this previous balance had gone.
Allegedly this balance was returned to the general fund at
the time, while this explanation seemed to fit a logical
credibility gap, we were not

told irritated over our funds since the revenue
was still not
being brought in a considerable
amount of money
approximately $1,500. We were
required by state law to turn this
revenue into the Business Office.

This again seemed like a normal
and acceptable requirement
from the Student Support
school-organized support.

Around the beginning of the
second semester, we sent the
Business Office to see exactly
how much money we had in
our account. I was informed by one
individual that we had
approximately $8,300 left which was
indeed surprising; while another individual from the
same office immediately informed me that we had
no money left! The $1500 was
(Continued on Page 4)

Corruptory Codes

The Lowell Tech Social Code
copies basic notions of
freedom, or as the issue has been
lately, 24 hour puritanism.

A social code as opposed to a
set of rules places greater
responsibility on the individual
rather than on the authority.
The freedom conferred by a
social code is a positive value
only so long as one person's
freedom or privacy does not
interfere with another's.

The social code states that
freedom must be balanced with
the needs of the group.

Flash Flood At LT?

No. This is our sewer behind Bourgeois Hall. It has been running
out lightly for only a few hours. It is spewing pollution out all
over the area. On a good rainy day the cover is spread out. It has
washed away much of the ground. It has made the area an open
sewer. The system was built in the 1890's. It worked then, not
now.

We added two dorms, two parking lots, and a research center
onto the line. It empties directly into the Merrimack. It stinks! No
one talks about it. Everyone pretends it doesn't exist. Maybe we
should give this whole area back to the rats who love the sewer.

(Continued on Page 4)
Incompetency is not an exception in Comstock Hall—it apparently is a real and praiseworthy, if an honor system that we would be in control perhaps even beyond our price and membership. The more competent and trustworthy individuals, and the more men the position of being allowed to assume the more accretive, the higher the standard of trust and allegiance be accorded.

The Dean of students is supposed to maintain the channels of communication between the students and administration open and functional, a standard that could be met and must be based both on understanding and good will. Far from being the frontlinethink of reconciliation and understanding, the Dean has repeatedly (and, Admittedly) turned a deaf ear to the legitimate requests of the student body. For example, at the past protest demonstration he had the audacity to maintain that the majority of dormitory residents not only did not return daily visiting hours—that suggested that they could not conduct themselves in an credible manner. If the Dean honestly believed what he was saying then the situation was more of a tragedy than we had expected. Not only does the prove that Leopold F. King has lost all pretense of interest in the students, but is a reflection of general incompetence.

Perhaps the Dean would multihed that he was thinking of the "best interests of the students." and others through the application of a logic so curious as to escape us, might support his action. Nevertheless he was given the Board of Trustees to implement their mandate of 24 hour police. What was his reaction? To offer counter argument? To dazzle us with displays of logical powers? Not true. He just as the usual rube and spewed out only main half hearted dribblings meant to delay rather than convince and persuade. The net result of this behaughty insult to everyone's intelligence led the dormitory residents to the brink of wail without "hours." He was paid over $34,000 a year for wailing about. Lowell Tech. At a time when the Governor of the State was making only $9,500. His effect upon the technical people—whom he has to attend to office one or two days a week. We begin to wonder about wail action should be instituted to remove even this ghostly vestige from this hellish state.

As absurd as our administrative officials are, by far the greatest burden upon our collective backs is that wasted heap of political platitude. Lowell Tech. is riddled with the rigid incapacity to say anything to the few individuals on that body who are competent or even consider running the affairs of a college, we shall make an attempt to explain what has happened upon their recent signature of the Landmark Technical Institute. RDTC 2 years ago as an example of this bungled ignorance. Motions are passed on mass at trustee meetings, with debate or vandalism of whatever their contents. The only advantage is saved by Lydon, and knowing how they are appointed, are afraid to rock the boat. So we suffer: we pay for their inanities—we are robbed because they do not care—we are oppressed because they are imbeciles.

The finest, and most advantageous act that the majority of Trustees could do for Lydon would be to resign and allow the governor to send individuals who exist as more than deadwood. We need more men who have the character and acumen of Trustees Mitchell, Richard, Sampas, and Chris. These are men who have a considered interest in the advancement of the college. They are men who have a considered interest in the advancement of the college. They are more concerned with the opinion of students than their country colleagues.

It should be obvious to all that LD is now heading into a period of turmoil which should precipitate vast opportunities for constructive change. That perception is entrenched within the program of incompetence which evolution our every instant in attendance where. We have been change place at other institutions in the same order in a vital manner. The path has always been the same—repeat student requests, repeated delays, committee after committee, discussion after discussion, frustration after frustration... Then explosion.

L. Contino

Thus Sprach. Who?

"The Streets of our country are in turmoil. The universities are filled with students rebelling and quarreling. The graduates are seeking to destroy our country. Russia is threatening with her might unanswerable to in danger. Yes, danger from within and without."

"The Law and Order. Yes, without law and order our nation cannot survive. End us and we shall receive Law and Order."

Order."

A poll was taken by a senior recently using this question by Adolf Hitler when he was traveling in Germany in 1932. People were asked who they thought gave the speech. The result: one hundred percent thought it was either Spinoz Agnew or Richard Nixon. Dine is tempted to ask whether the facts end up in our society.
Dear Sir:

THE TEXT is a student newspaper prepared and edited by students. Since it is a student newspaper, THE TEXT takes on controversial topics should reflect the position of the Student Council, not just a few students. In the last issue of THE TEXT published on this campus, there were large letters the fact that they had supported Robert McGaughey. Let be a little fair, Not all students support McGaughey, but they do not support every student's paper. Since the TEXT is the only paper on campus to speak out, the other qualified opponents, I myself, support McGaughey but I think THE TEXT is completely out of line in coming out on such a hot issue as elections. The quick reference to Student Council, students, and issues should be listed and the decision should be left to the students. Please print this letter! I believe my feelings are held by many students.

A Not So-Apathetic Sophomore

Ed.

Note: The only conclusion I can come to after reading your comments is that THE TEXT is not often read newspapers. Any All newspapers have not only the doing of an editorial, but the printing of taking an editorial stand on all issues of importance. We realize that not everyone agrees with this case and are confident that Lowell Tech students have the chance to get their opinions heard from editorial opinion. Where were you when we took stands on Moratorium Day and Peace March?

Dear Text

As a freshman at this school I would like to ask some questions of our school officials. Is Dean King allowed to take away one of our privileges before it even goes into effect? And was it not the Board of Directors who had the authority to change the decision of the board of directors who would be a decision of the students? We have just been listening to the interview on WLTI with the dean concerning punishment and once again I feel that we have been pacified. Why is Dean King allowing students to get away with questions that he chooses to answer? Why is he allowed to turn his back on the issues when he does answer questions? I do not believe that our dean has ever given a direct answer to any question unless the original question was repeated or rephrased. When are the students going to be told what is going on in the school? When are the students going to be asked what issues are that we young men and women, not prep school children, are the ones going to stand up for their rights, and fight for the things that students at other state schools are guaranteed?

Buffy Franklin

To the Text and Student Officials.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my opinions on the recent student elections. I wish to say that I honestly feel the elections were very poorly conducted. Of all the candidates, I only knew four of them, only because of previous elections. I think that there should be some meeting where people can hear the candidates speak, at least so that they can have some idea of who they are voting for. An item which bothered me was that the ballots and writing were not always filled out. This is undemocratic. Granted, it takes a lot of time to count votes, and some times this would make this process an easier task, but write-in votes should have been accounted for and recorded in the proper manner. (I did not mark the card, when no choice was given, and I hope the purpose of doing so.)

One thing which bothered me is that the voting was for vice-president and president of the Student Council. This was really ridiculous with two friends holding the top positions, many council positions to be filled and the possibility of being a vice-president, but just as if one person had the two votes.

Although not illegal in any manner, it is a very unfair practice. Why? Because in this school many students did not know either Wayne or Bob. Many of these students may have voted for Bob, simply because the Text supported him. There is no question in my mind that quite a few voters were voted in this manner.

In closing, I would like to say that the voting system at Lowell Tech should be changed, in order to guarantee a fair election. Officers. The Text's statements of Student Council, being the best person in the school could have a good position, if he wanted it, simply because students do not know any body else to vote for. (I cast my vote and only voted for three names, except in one case where I did not feel that person was qualified, in that instance it was blank.)

A Concerned Freshman

To the Editor

The AATT wishes to deny any personal affiliation with the John Birch Society or with any other organization listed as subversive by the US government. The wild and reckless accusations made by the Washington Post have little foundation in fact. The denial of a federal security clearance to a former AATT member was probably not the result of his club activities. The incident should not be construed as reflecting upon our entire group. This is a matter of record that our national organization has no direct ties with the John Birch Society at this time. The political activities of our members are in no way related to the official purposes of our organization. We extend an invitation to persons of political persuasion, or ethnic background to come to our meeting on May 12. We hope to dispel the rumors which have been circulating. Time and place of the meeting will be announced. Let us hope that the press is investigating the activities of all the liberal-continentalists as well as they are those who place themselves for Americans.

Name withheld by request

Dear TEXT

Are you a student newspaper; are you sure, newspaper? I believe it’s more of a campaign poster. A newspaper prints facts. By who’s right does the TEXT have to make sure that all its subscribers who do not support? Granted, all the candidates were aired and this is one candidate, but then why ruin such a fine start by going biased?

There is a question being banded at the present time as to how the TEXT will be financed next year. Presently, you are using student activity fee to print your paper and yet you then decide to push one student candidate above others. Therefore, I must be paying you to sway me. Don’t bother; as I have freedom of choice, I’ll choose. Do something better when you wish my money next year.

A Student

Dear Sirs:

I feel it is quite essential that I clarify my position on the panel ofRossy. A newspaper print held on Wednesday, March 18, in Dr. D’Connor’s Popular Culture Class.

My views, which I did not get the opportunity to fully express, are not in favor of any such movement whose goals are to unite the females in a struggle for equality with males. I feel that each person is an individual and should live his life as he pleases, and I do not believe that females should be satisfied with male “superiority” being at its best. A woman’s proper place is in the “kitchen” anyway, but this is for her to decide. The opinion of a few men cannot be applied to all women. To those who maintain that “man, let’s be equal” does not bold for everyone.

I also have a few comments on this idea of women thinking like “sexes objects.” If a girl has to offer to prove her abilities, then what else can she expect of any relationship? However, if she is intelligent, I’m sure her “friend’s” interest won’t be solely devoted to sex, sex, sex.

I conclude I should like to say that I resent being considered as part of any one radical groups such as the Women’s Liberation Movement, I consider myself as an individual, and I regard my individuality highly. For this reason, I regret the image I portrayed on the day of the seminar. I do believe this to be libelious would be to “trap” the image of an “anti-middle class” woman. I’d rather be the woman “behind” the great man, maintaining my femininity, and being the “hub” of the “hub” in my own society.

Sincerely,

Karen D. Dunlop ’72

The SHEA BILL

AN ACT defining the rights of inhabitants of the commonwealth indisposed or serving in the military forces of the United States.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

SECTION 1. No inhabitant of the commonwealth indisposed or serving in the military forces of the United States shall be required to serve outside the territorial limits of the United States in the conduct of armed hostilities nor an emergency, and not other wise authorized in the powers granted to the President of the United States in Article 3, Section 3, of the Constitution of the United States or in Article 3, Section 2, of the Constitution of the United States.(Signed)

H. the attorney general shall in the name and on behalf of the commonwealth be appointed in the stead of any inhabitants thereof, who may require to serve in the armed forces of the United States to be serving in an emergency or on the United States, and the United States, or to the Attorney General in the name of the residents thereof, who may require to serve in the armed forces of the United States in violation of section 1 or of section 3 of one of the act or to the attorney general as a party to such action in an appropriate inferior federal court, the attorney general shall join as a party to such action. If such action is commenced hereunder in an inferior federal court, the attorney general shall take all steps necessary and within his power to obtain favorable action thereon, including a decision by the Supreme Court of the United States.

House of Representatives

Passed to be enacted, David M. Battersby, April 1, 1970

In Senate, April 1, 1970

Passed to be enacted, Maurice A. Dombrowski, President.

April 2, 1970.

FRANCIS SARGEANT

Acting Governor

The INFORMATION BUREAU

Personal Problems

There are two guidance counselors who are willing to speak with students about their personal problems. Rev. Charles Whitford, of St. Paul’s Methodist Church, is on campus on Mondays and Father Paul Walsh, of the Newman Center, is here on Wednesdays. Our office is at the bottom of the stairs in the Department of Old History. You wish to make an appointment with either of them, get in touch with them at their office to see Mrs. Culkin in the Dean’s office.

If you are ill in an accident during the academic year, you are covered by an insurance policy paid for through the school activity fee. This insurance covers accident—automobile, athletic, and personal. It pays doctor, nurse, ambulances, and hospital fees. All claims must be filled with the insurance office within a year of the accident. Students who paid the additional $32.00 at the beginning of the year are also covered for illness. Likewise, their claims must be filed with the insurance office.

Ex-D Campus Legal Problems

All students subject to major disciplinary action—suspension, expulsion, or any other type of severe discipline—are entitled to a trial prior to the commencement of punishment. If you find yourself subject to such disciplinary action, you should contact one of these students for information concerning your rights.

Phil Morrissey

542-9779

Phillip Kosty

584-8731

Tom McQuillin

542-7017

Bob McGaughey

542-8296

Bob Dunlop

542-1618

EXPAND YOUR MIND THROUGH TRAVEL

$120.00 PER WEEK

TRAIN NOW FOR FULL TIME SUMMER POSITION

CALL: 453-3224

EXPAND YOUR MIND

THROUGH TRAVEL

For information, write:

THE INFORMATION BUREAU

415 BROADWAY

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10012
**Who's Got The Money?**

(Continued from Page 1)

...being held frozen in our account, and that we had slightly over $10,000 to our credit. When I inquired as to how we could have gone over our budget which was only $5.00, he answered that this must be some

money in advertising revenue (under the assumption that his money was not required).

For the present (The text is cut off here).

I was then informed that his money had not gone to defray publishing expenses, but had been put back into the general fund. When I brought this information back to him, I was informed that this was the case, that this revenue was paid directly to him, not to the general fund, and that we were unable to draw from it but that the school could only be reimbursed from the general fund for whatever purpose it saw fit. This was the only other requirement as to our budgetary obligations, while their requirements were somewhat more

unusual, as the students were required to be somewhat more responsible than the faculty.

A general assumption on campus running a dance, sale, or any other fund raising function was allowed with the understanding that all funds required and understood should be returned to all advertising revenues to the Auditor's Office, and that we did have the right to draw from the amount turned in, and that all funds allocated to an organization could be used by that organization and no one else, without proper notification of the proper channels.

We of The Text desire only the truth. If there indeed exists an arrangement that is not understandable, legal reason for our budget being cut off we declare that The Text and the state Auditor, if you wish, be informed of such a law. If no such exists, we suggest that the state Auditor may clarify this situation. Until this situation is clarified, we will continue operating under Schedule No. 10, page 31, of the State Auditor's report no. 70-68.

DORMITORY CODE

(Continued from Page 1)

beyond individual freedom, while it offers almost total freedom for those who abide by it, provides a form of reprimand for those who chose to ignore it. The code educates the student of the most important area of self-regulation both socially and academically, This is not in any way the expectation that values of freedom and privacy will be respected throughout the university.

1. The success of this code requires the active involvement of all members of the dormitories. Each student is expected to consider himself responsible and to ensure that his guest do so, maintaining full respect for his fellow students.

2. Students shall conduct themselves in a manner which is consistent with local state and national laws.

3. It is expected that minor cases of improper behavior will be dealt with by the school parties, not involved, more serious or repeated infractions will be forwarded to the Dean of Students or the Student Judiciary Board concerning parties.

4. The final power is with the student judges themselves, shall be dealt with by the Student Judiciary Board.

5. A. The text is cut off here.


dormitory

...change the institution's rules to effect its broadest scope.

A series of detailed changes of titles and functions are recommended, a study of LT414 concerning the evaluation and management and define duties.

The report is also concerned with study planning of an institution of higher education. It brands the budget system, it is suitable for a hospital or prison.

"No one participates in the budget making and budget-keeping process, it explains "other than in submitting requests. That lack of information precludes judicious planning even on a short-term basis. The study team found that few division chairmen knew the financial budget requests were ultimately approved or cut, and rarely knew by whom they were cut. They also were not generally aware of how much remains on hand in their budget.

In an apparent response to the all-powerful joint Ways and Means committee in the Legislative, the study notes: "Financial decisions with profound effects on the educational programs are made by people other than those responsible for the educational programs."

A major frustration faced by many division heads is the likelihood of budget decisions being made with the annual Spring budget which is too late to allow the hiring of needed personnel. "This obvious failure of the operation should be considered an educational problem. A study may be made of the budget procedure, and the board of trustees must in order that the board, the general budget, or policy making, appraisal, and planning functions and less to administrative matters.

And on the matter of delegating responsibility, the budget-making process is less than what it properly done, experience demonstrates that administrators should have their energies in matters of routine to the degree that they simply do not have the necessary care or experience to give leadership to the determination of more vital matters of policy.

It is expected that a discussion of the report will take place at the next trustees meeting.

Ed. Note: The above article appeared in the Lowell Sun on April 26. It is reprinted here with the permission of that publication.
As far as the administration is concerned, it would seem like girls don't exist here, or that they are only interested in a purely man's world. The coeds have to commissarie, or else try to find a home in one of the local rundown apartments. There are now 89 Women students at Tech, and for years they have been trying to secure a dormitory for themselves. The sororities have also been active in this effort, but got little administration backing. Many more girls would come here, if there were housing facilities for them.

Last year the girls actually sought a dormitory and were promised the use of a "school owned" house on Plymouth Street, which would be converted to a girls dormitory. The school restored it, even though the girls insisted on dorm life. Just when girls thought they were all set, the administration found out they couldn't get a full time house-mother plus an assistant, to do the deal fell through. The dorm is currently being used for male students.

This year the girls are pressing again. They feel that they have been treated unfairly and that the administration has the responsibility of providing housing for them. The administration once again brought up the lack of funds for a house mother, but the girls disagree with this policy. The whole question is the extent to which they are forced to do something that is the only chance to correct our errors of the past. But let's stop and ask why we are in the state we are in. Yes, the cause is the auto, and the bitching stacks of factories, and waste management, but there is also the solution to waste disposal. But these would never have been permitted to destroy our environment unless all of us were willing to consent.

The 28 of April was special for this college because the Biology Club took to the field and attempted to clean up the piles of garbage that are beginning to cover every corner of the campus; but why is this necessary? There are only two reasons, the biggest being that most of us, especially in the dorms, are SLOGS. Look behind, in front of, or anywhere near the dorm and all you see are discarded aluminum cans, thrown out boxes and papers, glass of all shapes and sizes, and auto parts. We get as if there were no people to dispose of the SHIT we leave. We are so unbelievably lazy, or stupid, or drunk that we can't seem to find a 3 foot wide barrel to throw this garbage in. We screamed for, fought for, and won parcels - we showed we can get what we really want, and yet we see the campus looks like a town dump. The only reason is because we must like the stench, and the rats, and the filth. Everyone is responsible in some manner.

The other reason is because our award winning maintenance crew is exclusively devoted to keeping Cummmock Hall and grounds spottless. Only when they cut the grass do the fronts of Hubbard and Southwick hall get cleaned up. Since they look like a field after Upstream Day has been held. Across the river, the same time we see maintenance is in the Summer just before the new freshman come in once a year! This smell unbelievable until you look at the pictures and see what the new dorms are being used for. The grounds in back or Bourgeois Hall as being used by the school as a dumping area for the garbage they dump increasing the rat population fantastically. You can hear from people about how the rats have become so accustomed to us that they don't even hide anymore when people come near them. It's possible to sit in the Leitch cafeteria by the window and see them scurrying through the weeds outside. Some have always been here, of course, because we are by the water and consume a good quantity of food. But the water around here which no one seems to care about has an abundant supply of them to live with us. Before too much longer they'll probably be living in the tunnels between the dorms and suddenly everyone will get very concerned. The time to do something is now while we have a chance. The Biology Club will do some of it for us, but we have to demand that the dump be closed and covered. We have to be less of a group of slobs and stop throwing our refuse all over the area. If not anything that has happened that was beneficial and anything that will happen will not be worth a damn. But then again maybe the rats have more rights to place to live and proper surroundings than we do.

James B. Kotsal
Circle K Captures New England Honors at Maine Convention

On March 20, 21, and 22, 13 members of the Los Alamos Tech Circle K Club attended the New England District Circle K Convention in Bangor, Maine. This annual convention has been held every year for the past ten years and is always the apex of the Circle K fiscal year. Lowell Tech was eligible for three highly regarded awards and captured first place honors in all three categories, a feat which has never before been accomplished by any club in New England.

The first award was presented for overall club achievement. This award is given to the Circle K Club which is deemed the best in New England based on monthly report forms, reports from district officers, and an achievement report form. Lowell Tech ran away with this honor and is thus designated as the outstanding Circle K Club in New England.

The next award was the Debitoral Contest. The theme for this year’s speech was “What Direction New England District of Circle K?”. Randi Edwards was selected as the District representative from Lowell Tech. She took first place honors.

The last award was “Single Service.” This award is given to the Circle K club that conducts the best service project of the year as judged by the Lowell and District Circle K Diet entry was Thursday, March 15, and the call was given by the then President Paul Revell. Acrylic of the KEY, apparatus, and newspaper articles participated in the discussion which again captured first place honors.

The priority of Circle K would like to thank the student body of Lowell Tech for their continued support this year and in the future. Thanks to you, we are the best club in New England and with your continued support we will stop the roll.

Paul E. Revell

The Text

ASMC Conference

(Continued from page 4)
The conference took place April 24 and 25. Friday evening there was dinner banquet and Mr. R.L. Maxwell at guest speaker. Mr. Maxwell is Director of the Naval Research Laboratory, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, Wash. D.C. He discussed “Transportation Problems and Future Systems.”

On Saturday was the Student Papers Competition, followed by the awards and banquet dinner.

Working hard all year to make student papers preparations were the following officers of the Student Chapter of the ASME at LT.

ALLOFS: Raymond (ME-70) chairman

Tech: Robert Lubbe (ME-70)

Next year’s officers of the Lowell ASME:

Ralph Whitney (ME-71) chairman

Michael J. Gichowsky (ME-71)

Larry Kennedy (ME-71) secretary

Richard S. Skowry (ME-71) treasurer

The judges were represented from Sanders Associates, United Shoe Machinery Corp., A.D. Little, Inc., Raytheon Company, and Honeywell Corp.

DORMITORY CODE

(Continued from Page 4)
be referred to the Board.

A. The dorm council recognizes the Dean of Student’s right to take immediate action when it becomes apparent that the safety and well-being of the resident is endangered.

E. Regulations

1. All women visitors to the resident halls will be required to sign in and sign out at a list located in the lobby. The names of both guests and host and the room number are to be entered on the list.

2. No unaccompanied guests are allowed in the dormitories except for the lobby area.

3. No female under sixteen years of age is to be admitted unless accompanied by a parent.

4. Any student who engages in immoral conduct (immoral conduct means to include violation of existing state laws) shall be subject to suspension or expulsion and procedures established by the Ad Hoc Committee of Faculty and Students.

5. Patrol privileges may be withdrawn from any student at any time of such action is deemed advisable by the Dean of Students, dually authorized administrative staff, or their designees.

6. All guest must be accompanied by the resident or members of the administration in the best interest of the students and/or the local community.

The Judiciary Board will meet each Tuesday at 7:30 p.m. in the Student Council Office.

Richard E. Revell

Student Trustee

Philip Morrissey

President, Class of ’73

Richard Salesie

President-elect, Class of ’72

To the Editor:

I am forwarding the results of my survey of Lowell student attitudes toward our AFROTC program. You may publish this data as you wish.

2. If the 2,948 students with mail boxes (to whom the questionnaires were distributed) were able to rate their attitude toward AFROTC from 0 to 100, 19.7% overall response. By class, the response was: Freshman 71.7%, Sophomores 22%, Juniors 17.2%, Seniors 93.3%, Graduate students 71%. There were three responses from the student in every available category. I did attempt to improve the survey by selecting from among the available choices. The survey was not, however, available to choose the three categories of students in which they were not counted. In addition some students did not answer the second two of the questions and some answered more than one response to one question. Except for question 6 on the freshman questionnaire, 12 responses were allocated to the choice that best described the students attitude.

4. Veteran responses were included with their respective questionnaire, however, responses were not included in their class group.

5. If you publish this data please express my appreciation to all of the students who took the time to complete and return the questionnaire.

Sincerely,

Therodorc M. Allen, Capt., USAF

PRESIDENT

The following were questions and their responses are printed in parenthesis. The first number refers to those who have graduated, the second to those who have not graduated, the third is from those presently enrolled.

1. Do you ever been accepted (120), have been enrolled (122), and presently enrolled (14).

4. My attitude toward the Air Force ROTC program at Lowell is: very favorable (18, 2, 10), slightly favorable (15, 2, 8), neutral (51, 3), slightly unfavorable (16, 0, 10) and unfavorable (18, 1, 0).

5. I feel that ROTC does (44, 0, 10), does not (25, 2, 0) have a rightful place on the Lowell Tech campus.

3. By the present Draft Lottery is (77, 10, 5) not favorable and improvement over the old system (15).

1. If there were no threat of being drafted I would (24, 12, 2) would not (88, 7, 1) voluntarily join the military service.

16. As a high school senior, I won (44, 4, 6) was not won (49, 4, 7) of the present Air Force ROTC Scholarship Financial Assistance Grant program.

17. With respect to Vietnam, I believe we should immediately withdraw and prepare for a stable peace (37, 3, 0) that would be done (35, 1) to achieve a military victory (25, 1) I support the present negotiated settlement of the conduct as it is. (19, 1, 0)

5. I consider our Department of Defense to be generally (14, 1, 7) efficient and ineffective (16, 2, 3) of the force to achieve a military victory (25, 1, 0) support the present negotiated settlement of the conflict as it is. (19, 1, 0)

3. If I were not (96, 12, 4) support the goals of the Students for a Democratic Society (SDS).

20. I consider our Department of Defense to be generally: efficient (14, 2, 7) relatively efficient (39, 5, 1) inefficent (10, 1, 0) relatively inefficient (31, 1, 1) very inefficient (21, 3, 0).

UNIVERSITY, GRAD STUDENTS,

FEMALES AND VETERANS

The following were the questions and responses were printed in parenthesis, of the AFROTC questionnaire. The first number refers to those who have graduated, the second to those who have not graduated, the third is from those presently enrolled.

19. do I consider our Department of Defense to be generally: efficient (19, 10, 3) slightly efficient (63, 29, 6) inefficient (23, 3, 9), inefficient (10, 3, 1) (efficient (75, 25, 6) relatively inefficient (61, 24, 1, 9)

21. If I were not (100, 4, 3) interested in reaching a negotiated settlement of the conflict in Vietnam (74, 9, 6, 2) could not volunteer the military service.

2. If I were not (76, 23, 7, 14) considered participating in the present Movement for a Democratic Society (SDS).

5. I consider our Department of Defense to be generally: efficient (19, 10, 3) relatively efficient (63, 29, 6) inefficient (23, 3, 9), inefficient (10, 3, 1) (efficient (75, 25, 6) relatively inefficient (61, 24, 1, 9)

3. If I were not (100, 4, 3) interested in reaching a negotiated settlement of the conflict in Vietnam (74, 9, 6, 2) could not volunteer the military service.

21. If I were not (100, 4, 3) interested in reaching a negotiated settlement of the conflict in Vietnam (74, 9, 6, 2) could not volunteer the military service.

5. I consider our Department of Defense to be generally: efficient (19, 10, 3) relatively efficient (63, 29, 6) inefficient (23, 3, 9), inefficient (10, 3, 1) (efficient (75, 25, 6) relatively inefficient (61, 24, 1, 9)

21. If I were not (100, 4, 3) interested in reaching a negotiated settlement of the conflict in Vietnam (74, 9, 6, 2) could not volunteer the military service.

5. I consider our Department of Defense to be generally: efficient (19, 10, 3) relatively efficient (63, 29, 6) inefficient (23, 3, 9), inefficient (10, 3, 1) (efficient (75, 25, 6) relatively inefficient (61, 24, 1, 9)
24 Delicious Varieties of Pizza delivered to you piping hot from SIGNOR® PIZZA

SICHER Service in ALL of Dracut - and Lowell, North of RT-3 and 133
50c delivery charge per order - one or more pizzas

PHONE 957-2038
Mon. thru Thurs. DELIVERIES Fri. thru Sun. 7 p.m. to 11 p.m. DAY 7 p.m. to midnight

Please order by number:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>TOMATO AND CHEESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>ONION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>ONION AND OLIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>GREEN PEPPER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>ONION AND PEPPER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>MEATBALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>ANCHOVY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>MEATBALL AND OLIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>PEPPERONI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MUSHROOM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We also feature a variety of subs and spaghetti dishes

SIGNOR PIZZA
1655 LAKEVIEW AVENUE IN DRACUT

(Continued on Page 2)
THE TEXT

TOSCANO'S REPORT

The Text Editor (1) Dr. Bannister

Baseball Record, 2-3-2???

Due to the early spring, unpredictable and dark weather, Lowell Tech's baseball team has come up with two ties on their record. The 6-6 tie against Assumption may be played out, but the 4-4 tie against Hartford will not be. Tech opened the season losing a heartbreaking pitcher's duel to Bentley. A Sophomore pitcher Mike Camuso struck out 16 batters and allowed only 4 hits, but still lost. The Bentley hurlers would only permit a single hit, and that was it. A strong MIT team came in and overwhelmed Team Gallagher, Steve Ottaviani, and Rev. Worthen, Tech's pitcher by a 9-2 score. Tech then registered its initial victory over Brandeis, 12-4, with Mike Camuso getting the win. Two teams now with the story as the Terrirers then downed Suffolk in a 5-0 shutout. Rev. Worthen was outstanding, pitching a 3-hitter performance for the victory. Hartford took on Tech in a doubleheader on April 13th, beating them, 10-7, in the first game and tying them 6-6 in the second. Darkness and cold weather forced the game to a halt. Assumption was here last Wednesday, and the teams fought to another 6-6 tie. Mike Camuso spared his ankle in the second inning, having been provided with a 2-0 lead up to that point. Tom Gallagher and Steve Ottaviani went the rest of the way, and again darkness won out.

The team has been plagued with a loss of timely hitting, a problem also being suffered by Boston's own Red Sox Freshman centerfielder, Kevin Walsh, has been cracking the ball as of late, with a .4 hit Saturday, and a big hit against Assumption. Frank Kauluwski, the team captain, had a strong day against Assumption also, with low line drive base, and one other shot that had the first baseman ducking. The team has five home run contributed by Lou Norwood, Merrill Jones, Kevin Walsh, Bob Minnik, and Mike Camuso.

OMAR SPEAKS OUT

A large number of our student body have been somewhat surprised by the predictions of Lowell Tech's first-year Omars, as of late. A great amount of unnecessary and vicious abuse has been aimed at the mystic. Needless to say these unwarranted and degrading attacks have fallen short of their objective, Omar thrives on it. A conspiracy from the city of the fidgeting loops, the New York Rangers, were set upon us with violent intent, but the Beavers showed through, even though it took one nasty extra game (picky, picky). My prediction for the Western Division was reversed, clearly a case of crystal ball hangs. It was the Penguin who defeated the Oakland Seals in four games fairly, a good choice of teams for a game that is played on ice, while the St. Louis Blues went almost seven games.

These irresponsible nonbelievers are somewhat ignorant of the fact that up to this point all the team choices are 100% correct. My, my, it seems that this abuse is certainly unwarranted, don't it? Such defiant attacks on the supremacy of the mystic are unjust and unnecessary. It seems that this student body is ignorant of the fact that Omar is the resident mystic and vase for the entire Merrimack Valley, and out of context and true graciousness, I have chosen Lowell Tech as my center of focus. Let it be known that Lowell State, Merrimack College, and even Rivier College (those glosses are classing and screaming for me) have sought me for their very own, and I might add on some very reasonable terms (especially Rivier College). Take note critics, such opposition to my position of tenure is greatly antagonizing me. This is the first and last warning, any future comments on a negative vein will cause a quick and precise exit from this campus. Be warned!!

(Got my plateus ready at Nana's girls.)

SPORTS

Fly Open to be Held Tuesday

The Industrial Management Society proudly announces its annual golf tournament, The Fly Open, will take place at Hickey Hall Golf Club on Tuesday, May 5, 1970. The entry fee is $4.25 which covers the cost of green fees and trophies. The tournament is open to all interested people in I.M.S.

You need not be a pro in order to win because we use the Callaway Handicap System which makes all golfers equal.

Starting times begin at 9:00 A.M. and continue on in 5 minute intervals. If you have a foursome that you would like to tee off with please sign up now and notify Al Orenstein 2418. I have the names of the three players, entry fee, and starting times preferred must be included.

We hope to see all golfers participating in the tournament and wish all entrants the best of luck...

LACROSSE TEAM SUFFERING FROM SCHEDULE

Lowell Tech's lacrosse team has been overwhelmed by some strong opponents in their first three games. Most of the trouble has stemmed from the scheduling up until now. Three of the four toughest teams on the schedule were slated away for the first three games of the year, Brandeis downed them, 11-3, Nichols downed them, 14-2, and the reigning Colonial League Champions, Plymouth State, downed them, 19-0. The schedule is much easier from here on in, with the next opponent being Babson, whom the Terrirers defeated last year 9-8. After that contest, the Terrirers are on their home field for four contests, away for one, and then they finish the year with two contests at home. The team should be sufficiently strong and heading onto winning ways now with some easier competition.